

And he does not state what “help” will be given – he does not, at this point, state what “his answer” is either. Neither has he offered any help as he has not contacted Dr. Wood – ever! (Or some might read the offer of “help” as a veiled threat, much like the one by Jim Fetzer, to “redirect” her research.)

Some time later at around 1:49:15, Mr. Hoagland states:

Electromagnetic fields when they interact in certain ways create torsion – they tickle the torsion field - which is the aether, so it’s the EM which can trigger what’s really doing this which is not – I bet you dollars to Navy Beans – not electromagnetic.

He said that electromagnetic fields interact and create torsion fields. And torsion fields are the aether. So is he saying that electromagnetic fields create aether? It sounds like Mr. Hoagland’s explanation contains a number of “buzz words” and it is not really scientific and it is also unreferenced.

Mr. Hoagland then states:

And that’s where Judy is in error – because she doesn’t know about the existence of torsion or Hyperdimensional physics

This statement is dishonest – Mr. Hoagland has never contacted ‘Judy’ – i.e. Dr. Wood – so how can he honestly state what she does and does not know?

Mr. Hoagland then continues, with some rather confusing statements:

or that you can get effects from EM in this other realm as a kind of erm transducer or sideways step of force and force. She’s thinking this is some kind of electromagnetic effect.

It is interesting that Mr. Hoagland does not acknowledge (or even recognize?) that one of Dr. Wood’s most prominent areas of expertise is interferometry.

In her book “Where Did The Towers Go?”, and all other communications, Dr. Wood avoids “trendy” terms. The *only* descriptive term she has used in attempting to describe the operation of the technology is “magnetic-electrogravitic-nuclear reactions.” {p 365} That is, she has never proposed “EM” as a term descriptive of the technology that caused the destruction on 9/11, nor has she proposed using any other term.

It appears that here, Mr. Hoagland is misrepresenting Dr. Wood’s research. Also, we may ask: Has Mr. Hoagland read Dr. Wood’s book? If he has not, why is he “pretending” to present her work? It seems we have never heard Mr. Hoagland discuss the topic of magnetic-electrogravitic-nuclear reactions, so it is natural to question if Mr. Hoagland is even familiar with this level of physics, much less understand it. So here again, [we see](#)

[the all-too-familiar pattern of misquoting, muddling up or misrepresenting the detail of what Dr. Wood has said.](#)

In the same segment of the presentation, Mr. Hoagland then notes

Although she has connected it to the idea of free energy. And there’ll be [are] extensive discussions on her site – which you’ll find about the suppression of this 9/11 catastrophe mainly to keep us all in the dark – literally – about free energy and it’s [the] radical positive effect it could have on society not just here but anywhere in the world.

However, he does not really spend any significant time exploring this issue and the salient connections that have “fallen out” during Dr. Wood’s research. For example, Mr. Hoagland makes no mention, at this point, of another “friend” of his - mentioned at the beginning of the earlier “Bin Laden” clip. This is Dr. Eugene Mallove – a tireless and fearless campaigner for the development of “free energy” technologies, such as those based on Low Energy Nuclear Reactions (LENR) or “Cold Fusion”. Mr. Hoagland also fails to point out that Mallove was murdered in 2004 – and that [Steven E Jones - another of the supposed “9/11 Truth Leaders” was linked to the field of LENR research.](#) This is a subject I have also written about.

At 2:26:15 Mr. Hoagland describes steel “beams coming down” and states

*“They destroy themselves in a geometry which is baffling to every single mechanical engineer, scientist who’s looked at the problem - **including Judy Wood.**”*


What is more “baffling” is that Mr. Hoagland was unaware of this until he read through Dr. Wood’s material. Again it must be stated that Mr. Hoagland has never corresponded with Dr. Wood, spoken with her, nor ever met her in person. So we are at a loss as to how Mr. Hoagland would obtain such information about Dr. Wood, much less “every single mechanical engineer and scientist.” Is this statement meant to lead listeners to conclude that “Judy only has a collection of interesting photos, but has ‘no idea’ what they mean?” It is certainly beginning to sound that way.

Referring to the groups of steel beams, Mr. Hoagland then states:

“Here is one set that has been rolled up like a carpet by some mysterious force.”

It is interesting to note that Mr. Hoagland uses the exact same phrase that Dr. Wood has used – rather than another “buzz word”. He then jokingly describes Dr. Wood as “a material science gal” but fails to give the audience an appreciation that Dr. Wood has conducted a comprehensive analysis of the physical evidence and reported her scientific findings – this includes an explanation for the mechanism of “some mysterious force”.


At around 52:50 into the presentation, Mr. Hoagland begins to describe a specific set of photographs collected by Dr. Judy Wood which show the “spire” turning to dust over a period of a few seconds.



This is a key piece of evidence - also clearly shown in this video clip I edited together.

[youtube:http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YfaEMHYc_Ko]

Thanks to a “blogger”, we have an image of the screen shown at around this time. [On a blog in Dutch](#), a poster called Observer1964 included these 2 images from Mr. Hoagland’s presentation:



The left hand image is an image *I created*. I did this when creating a booklet about the research of Dr. Judy Wood and the conclusions it leads one towards. The image on the left is on Page 8 of my booklet. This booklet can be downloaded [as a PDF](#) or [Microsoft Word Document](#). Notice that Mr. Hoagland does not mention the source of the image – which was my website (pay particular attention to the style of lettering and the arrow at the left side edge of the image above). Why did Mr. Hoagland not reference the source of this image – at all?

Later, at about 1:32:30 in the presentation Mr. Hoagland starts talking about 2 previous “building disasters” – he describes one as the “Wilson Centre or Woodrow Wilson centre” (actually the Windsor Tower) in Madrid and another as the Empire State Building/B25 Bomber crash in 1945. Although we do not have a screen image to reference, it sounds very much like Mr. Hoagland is describing what is shown on Page 7 of the document/booklet mentioned above. Again, the source (my website) is not referenced or mentioned.

However, it seems from later “Facebook” postings that Mr. Hoagland had no intention of accurately describing the *Qui Tam* case. [Ralph K Winterrowd, a legal campaigner based in Alaska who hosts a talk show on RBN, attempted to inform readers of the identity of 2 of the defendants in the Qui Tam case \(ARA and SAIC\)](#), but his posts were removed and he was blocked from posting soon after posting this information.

Also, at 2:21:00, Mr. Hoagland tries to give the impression that he understands what is behind the effects John Hutchison has created and states

*.. in other words what John Hutchison is doing is “tickling the force” with his fields and his playing and his [...inaudible] not at that time knowing at all what he was doing but getting amazing effects because of the interaction back and forth... the commutivity can flow in both directions - EM fields produce torsion, torsion produces EM fields. The torsion field is primary. And there he is - pleased as punch at what he’s doing **even though he hasn’t a clue what he’s doing**.*

[In a broadcast on 04 Apr 2010 with Dr. Judy Wood and Ralph Winterrowd](#), John Hutchison states, at around 31:50

{mp3remote}<http://www.checktheevidence.com/audio/9/11/Dr.%20Judy%20Wood%20-%20John%20Hutchison%209-11%20and%20Free%20Energy%20-%20Ralph%20Winterrowd%20-%2004%20Apr%202010.mp3>{/mp3remote}

I use a different combination of radio waves along with threshold high voltage and electrostatic operators and I only use weak magnetic fields to “steer” the electrostatic around to cause it to conform to certain patterns.

Though he then refers to it as an “accidental discovery” and that he had real problems replicating it in the early years, he goes on to describe how he was spurred on, to some extent, by requests for demonstrations to some of the more open-minded scientists. It sounds like he “*does* have a clue”. Indeed, he was able to reproduce the effects on many occasions – [one example being for an \(apparently unreleased\) Rob Simone documentary, filmed in 2007](#) (and there are later examples, though John’s YouTube channel showing some of these was deleted).

Therefore, it appears that John Hutchison does “have a clue” about what he is doing - as he understands how to produce results from the interference of various forms of energy fields. That is, he can demonstrate this technology.

“Space Beams”?

Towards the end of the presentation, at around 2:31:00, Mr. Hoagland states:

*was spinning – that Rumsfeld was spinning – that Cheney was spinning.
None of it is true.*

He does not present any evidence to back up his statement about the “leadership of 9/11 truth”. For example, he could have referenced one of a number of articles on <http://www.checktheevidence.com/> - or even [my free e-book 9/11 – Finding the Truth](#). Perhaps Mr. Hoagland was a little nervous – because if he had gone any further, he may have had to mention what one of [his fellow conference speakers](#), Richard Dolan, [said in September 2009 about 9/11 “truth”](#).

His comments about the Bush administration are also rather odd, bearing in mind that at about 32:50 he praised Obama for “thinking” and even likened him to President Kennedy! Perhaps Mr. Hoagland had not considered that something very odd happened with Obama – when he was nominated for the Nobel Peace Prize some time during his first four weeks in office (and later received this award) and he was perhaps the only presidential *candidate* to tour Europe *before* he was elected!

The Devil is in The Detail

From around 40:00 minutes, Mr. Hoagland moves on in to his discussion of the destruction of the WTC.

At around 40:30, he lauds “Judy” (not Dr. Wood) for some time but then says

...it's our analysis..

Later, it becomes clear that he has put his own “spin” on what the evidence actually shows. However, he does this having made some serious errors and omissions (see below). Though he says “it’s our analysis”, he never states who else has contributed to it – and arguably no real analysis is even presented.

One error that he makes is repeated several times in his presentation. He talks about the “collapse” of the towers. For example at around 42:20 he describes it as a “**free fall collapse**”. This is not correct, because the towers, as he proceeds to illustrate with photos from Dr. Wood’s collection, *turned to dust*. That is, the towers did not collapse – if they had “collapsed”, they would have hit the ground and created a seismic signature, among other things. This did not happen.

At around 44:10, Mr. Hoagland describes what sounds like Dr. Wood’s [“Billiard Ball Example” \(BBE\) refutation of the “collapse” story and gives an illustration of how the towers were destroyed](#). Mr. Hoagland thought it would take 40 seconds for the building to “pancake” down, floor-by-floor. It seems he does not understand the BBE (this is covered in Chapter 2 of “Where Did the Towers Go”, but Dr. Wood has had it on the web since 2005.)

At 47:08, Hoagland notes the lack of debris, but then quite a bit later, at around 1:49:00 confuses the issue and repeats an unverified story:

- Discussion of WTC 7 destruction
- “Prepping” of buildings (lathering and other related effects).
- Alaskan Magnetometer Data

Finally, his most significant omission was [Hurricane Erin](#). This is a particularly interesting omission for several reasons. At 86:25 Mr. Hoagland mentioned effects seen in Tornados, stating

I got to thinking one day “What is a tornado? It is a spinning column of air. This creates a torsion field.”

[This was a question asked by Dr. Wood when she began to research Hurricane Erin in early 2008, and the similarities with the Hutchison Effect, while she was studying Hurricane Erin](#). She [presented this in May 2008 \(Seattle\)](#), including the quote, “I swear I could see cars floating.”, from a news report.

[Curiously, in October 2005, Mr. Hoagland posted information on his blog noting the anomalous behaviour observed in both Hurricane Wilma and Hurricane Ophelia!](#) (This information is only currently present in the “Internet Archive” as Mr. Hoagland has deleted it from his website or moved it from its original location). This makes his omission of Hurricane Erin particularly staggering!

Summary of What We Have Observed so Far

- Mr. Hoagland described the Qui Tam case incorrectly – trivialising it.
- He stated several times that “Judy” was in error or “did not know what happened”.
- He made errors about John Hutchison and also stated that John “had no clue what he was doing”.
- He made serious omissions which prevented people from gaining a full and coherent picture of the evidence.

It seems clear that, in large part, Mr. Hoagland has misrepresented Dr. Wood’s research. He presented her analysis as his own - while implying she was “clueless” about what happened. Three years ago, Mr. Hoagland was still promoting the “9/11 Bin Laden story”. Four years ago, Dr. Wood submitted her analysis in a federal qui tam case. This is a matter of public record. So Dr. Wood and Mr. Hoagland didn’t “just happen to discover the same thing at the same time.”

She is a careful scientist and does not blurt out speculations and guesses to “be the first” so she can “cash in on a prize”. As a result, she has never had to retract any of her scientific findings.

What reason could there be for Mr. Hoagland wanting to present Dr. Wood’s research – especially considering Dr Joseph Farrell had “dropped out of the conference? i.e. Why wasn’t Dr Wood invited to present her research in the absence of Joseph Farrell, while Mr. Hoagland focused on an area he had previously covered? Is Mr. Hoagland attempting to muddle up the evidence – the truth - rather than give it “clear passage”?

...If you believe that, I've got a really cool bridge deal that I... OK?

Meeting Mr. Hoagland

Personally, I wonder if he “secretly” paid attention to [some of the materials and signs I had on display during the Beyond Knowledge Conference in Sept 2009](#) (when I gave a presentation about Crop Circles). At that event, I even attempted to strike up a conversation with Mr Hoagland, but he expressed no apparent interest – he never looked at any of [booklets, leaflets or DVDs I had on display](#). At the same conference, [Mr Hoagland did a presentation about The Secret Space programme](#) (but the first hour which I watched was centred on anomalies in the Rings of Saturn.)

And I say again, *Richard Hoagland has never communicated with either myself or Dr. Judy Wood before or since his Amsterdam presentation* (as of writing this article). Prior to this, I had resisted contacting Mr Hoagland myself because of the volume of e-mails I considered he would receive and that I had hoped he would find the available information and realise its importance without any need for me to contact him.

We can safely say, however, that he has been aware of Dr. Judy Wood's research and website since at least January or February 2010 – when he included reference to it in the [third part of his “Norway Spiral” series of articles](#).

Of course, many people – including myself, often reference or re-use images from Websites in presentations they give. However, it is rare that someone will present material exclusively from a website *other than their own* - for 1½ hours of a 2¾ hour presentation. Also, why did Mr Hoagland not take such an opportunity to present research from “Dark Mission” and raise awareness – and sales – of this book? Surely his publisher would have preferred this! (More about publishers later...)

Friends

One of the interesting things Mr. Hoagland said early in his presentation, at about 03:18 was

“As my friend Alex Jones keeps saying...”

and then he mentions the concept of a “Prison Planet” (the title of one of Jones' websites). Mr. Hoagland mentioned several “friends” in this presentation and a couple of these statements we will examine later. My reason for flagging this up is that Alex Jones has attacked or censored any mention of Dr. Judy Wood's research when it has come up in discussions. [One example happened on a radio show hosted by Kevin Barrett](#) and another was [when his own show was being hosted by Jason Bermas](#). Finally, [Jerry Leaphart had to write a letter to Alex Jones, discouraging him from disparaging certain researchers whilst 9/11-related legal action was in progress](#). (Alex Jones, to my knowledge, never mentioned this legal case either, nor did he reply to the letter).

Around 53:00 into the presentation, Mr. Hoagland describes [Dr. Joseph Farrell](#) as his friend and he states that *Joseph Farrell* gave Mr. Hoagland permission to present some of

For quite some time, a product listing for the book appeared on Amazon as “unavailable” – and this remained even after the publisher had abandoned publication. The listing remained until *someone else asked for it to be removed*. Taking an opportunity to provide information about the [now published and complete version of the book, a few people posted reviews and comments on the Amazon listing page](#). The comments from Mr Parfrey make interesting reading.

At the time of writing this article, several (UK-related) “bogus” Feral House book listings for “Where Did the Towers Go?” are present on sites such as [Waterstones](#), [Abe Books](#) and [WH Smith](#). The problem is that these sites could serve to make people think that Dr. Wood's book has never been published and remains unavailable.

I therefore wrote to Waterstones about their listing. They referred me to a company called [Nielsen](#), who maintain a database which is used to generate these listings. I wrote to Nielsen, who in turn referred me back to Feral House (or their distributor) as being responsible for “feeding in” the listings. I then wrote to Feral House to ask them who their UK distributor was. I sent them the responses I received from both Waterstones and Nielsen. I addressed my message to [info@feralhouse.com](#) and I received this response:

*From: Adam Parfrey [mailto:ap@feralhouse.com]
Sent: 02 April 2011 15:27
To: ad.johnson@ntlworld.com
Subject: Re: Incorrect/Out of Date Book Listing.*

Dear Mr. Johnson,

What a strange remark to you from that Waterstones employee.

As you suggested in your email, Feral House has properly announced that it was no longer publishing “Where Did the Towers Go”...

It's difficult for us to admit that Feral House is unable to control the actions of others, no matter how misleading they might be.

We hope that you are able to help resolve these clerical errors.

Sincerely yours,

*E. Whitson
(for Feral House)*

Notice that the response came from Adam Parfrey's e-mail address, but the person stated their name as “E. Whitson.” Also, it was as if *I* was responsible for resolving the “clerical errors” – not them. They also did not help me in identifying who the UK distributor was – so I had to find that out from another source. In researching who the distributor was, I came across some very interesting information (see below). Eventually, I discovered that

I wish, in retrospect, I had decided to attend, as I may have been able to question Mr Hoagland about a number of aspects of what he presented. One might have thought that Mr Hoagland would present something about his research from his "Dark Mission" book (co-authored with [Mike Bara](#)) However, much of the material that he presented was nothing to do with "Dark Mission", Mars, Iapetus or any of those subjects. Rather, *the material he presented was primarily about the events of 9/11.*

Dr. Judy Wood's 9/11 Research and Court Case

Some readers may know of my special interest in 9/11, as it is [the topic I have written about the most on this website](#) – and about which I have the most information posted. Similarly, readers will likely know of my association with [Dr. Judy Wood](#) – who has singularly done more than any other researcher to determine *what* actually happened – and take that knowledge forwards into a ["Qui Tam" complaint against NIST's contractors](#) for Science Fraud. The complaint was eventually filed in the U.S. Supreme Court.

Very recently, Dr. Wood has made a fabulously important new book available – called simply ["Where Did The Towers Go?"](#) with a subtitle of "Evidence of Directed Free-Energy Technology on 9/11." This is the only book about 9/11, which, examines with a wealth of scientific data, *what happened* to the World Trade Centre Complex. In this work (and on her website) once the "what" has been established, the evidence of the "how it was done" is also explored.

Dr. Wood's forensic studies have shown the importance of what are known as "field effects" in relation to the destruction of the WTC Complex. This has been determined from a study of something known as "The Hutchison Effect" and Dr. Wood has also examined the likely role of Hurricane Erin in the events of 9/11. Some time ago, [I made a 20-minute video summary of the evidence](#). Dr. Wood has also posted a ["cliff notes" summary of the evidence](#).

Richard Hoagland's Presentation

When I first [listened to Mr Hoagland's presentation](#), I was, frankly, shocked. For about 1½ hours, he presented some of the catalogue of evidence that Dr. Judy Wood had compiled and made available on her website. Though Mr Hoagland did reference Dr. Judy Wood's name and made brief mention of her website, but it was rather odd that he did not seem to remember the name of her website when he began talking about her work (at 44:15), even though the name of her website is her name. **It must be pointed out that Mr Hoagland has never been in contact with either Dr. Wood or myself – before or after this presentation.** Neither of us had any idea that he would be presenting this research in as much detail as he did.

A number of people have already made remarks such as "Isn't it fantastic that someone like Richard Hoagland is endorsing Dr. Judy Wood's research??" Well, this might be true if he was presenting it fairly and accurately. However, as you will see from details discussed below, Mr Hoagland made a number of serious errors and omissions in his presentation and, had he consulted Dr. Wood or myself – or if the organisers had invited Dr. Wood to present this evidence, these mistakes could have been avoided.

From: Andrew Johnson

To: john hutchison

Sent: Tue, April 5, 2011 1:38:32 PM

Subject: RE: Quick Question - were you ever in the Navy?

Great many thanks John - shall I post these on the site?
Were you ever in the Navy?
Thanks again for the other info!
Andrew

From: john hutchison

Sent: 05 April 2011 20:21

To: Andrew Johnson

Subject: Re: Quick Question - were you ever in the Navy?

no petition nobody wanted me to leave i wanted to get out of that place and join nancy with the lab in the gulf trying to heal the waters
as we [are](#) doing and getting results iam joined also by entertainer friends as well cheers john <http://www.sandyfoxworld.com/> check out sandy fox site

richards new tv stuff
<http://www.t TMZ.com/videos?autoplay=true&mediaKey=6e8c2b37-b241-4a32-a3d5-db4617ff8c4e>

www.tevmusic.com latest hit

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UeNQGDlZrRO>

(And support the Q Initiative) It going bigger
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!<http://www.youtube.com/user/OnQinitiative>

ALL LINKS <http://www.myspace.com/johnkhutchison> linked to facebook, fan box ,mtv,

<http://www.youtube.com/user/johnkhutchison1?feature=mhum> you tube channel with [all](#) links lots of them and my tv shows

<http://www.youtube.com/user/HUTCHISONEFFECT2009> more stuff


latest tv film look here <http://www.youtube.com/user/NEWSCNNTOP1> more stuff

film footage www.gryphonproductions.com contact peter

From: Andrew Johnson

To: john hutchison

Sent: Tue, April 5, 2011 4:49:58 AM



WWW.CHECKTHEEVIDENCE.COM

Cheap DVDs
Free Downloads

Articles
Reports

Look at the Evidence and find the truth

9/11
Mars
Chemtrails
Health

Climate
Consciousness
Disclosure
Free Energy